ENCLOSURE 2

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY) 2011 IDEA PART B LEA PERFORMANCE DETERMINATIONS

LEA:	Washington Latin Public Charter School
Final Percentage Rating:	89%
Determination Level:	Meets Requirements

SUMMARY OF EACH REQUIRED ELEMENT AND RATING ASSIGNED

Element	Element Description	Determination	Number of Points Achieved	Number of Points Possible
1	History, nature and length of time of any reported noncompliance (APR Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13)	• Indicator 4b – N/A • Indicator 9 – N/A • Indicator 10 – N/A • Indicator 11 – not in compliance • Indicator 12 – N/A • Indicator 13 – not in compliance	0	2
2	Information regarding timely, valid and reliable data	• All data are submitted timely	4	4
3a	Identified noncompliance from on-site compliance monitoring and/or focused monitoring	• LEA did not receive a report in FFY 2010 as the result of an on-site monitoring visit	N/A	N/A
3b	Dispute resolution findings	• No dispute resolution complaints were filed against the LEA	N/A	N/A

4	Outcomes of sub-recipient audit reports	• Timely submission of A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points • Type of Auditor's A-133 Report Issued on Compliance (if applicable) – 4 points • Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points • Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points • Auditor's designation as low-risk sub-recipient in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points • Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the annual independent audit – 4 points • Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the annual independent audit – 4 points • Noncompliance or other matters identified by the Auditor that is required to be reported under Government Auditing Standard – 4 points	4 (average points)	4 (average points)
5	Other data available to OSSE regarding the LEA's compliance with the IDEA, including, but not limited to, relevant financial data	• Timely LEA submission of Phase I and Phase II applications and reimbursement for a minimum of 45% of its IDEA, Section 611 funds within the first 15 months of the FFY 2011 grants cycle	4	4
6	Compliance with the IDEA Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement	• LEA in compliance with the IDEA MOE requirement and LEA reported on MOE to OSSE timely	2	2
7	Performance on selected District of Columbia State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators	• LEA did not meet minimum "n" size for disability subgroup	0	0

8	Evidence of correction of findings of noncompliance, including progress toward full compliance	• 100% of noncompliance corrected as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year after the identification of the noncompliance	2	2
		Total Number of Points Achieved	16	
		Total Possible Points from Applicable Elements	18	
		Percentage of Points Achieved from Applicable Elements	89%	