## ENCLOSURE 2

## FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY) 2011 IDEA PART B LEA PERFORMANCE DETERMINATIONS

| | |
|---|---|
| **LEA:** | Washington Yu Ying Public Charter School |
| **Final Percentage Rating:** | 100% |
| **Determination Level:** | Meets Requirements |

### SUMMARY OF EACH REQUIRED ELEMENT AND RATING ASSIGNED

| Element | Element Description | Determination | Number of Points Achieved | Number of Points Possible |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1** | History, nature and length of time of any reported noncompliance (APR Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13) | • Indicator 4b – N/A<br>• Indicator 9 – N/A<br>• Indicator 10 – N/A<br>• Indicator 11 – in compliance<br>• Indicator 12 – N/A<br>• Indicator 13 – N/A | 1 | 1 |
| **2** | Information regarding timely, valid and reliable data | • All data are submitted timely | 4 | 4 |
| **3a** | Identified noncompliance from on-site compliance monitoring and/or focused monitoring | • LEA did not receive a report in FFY 2011 as the result of an on-site monitoring visit | N/A | N/A |
| **3b** | Dispute resolution findings | • No dispute resolution complaints were filed against the LEA | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **4** | Outcomes of sub-recipient audit reports | • Timely submission of A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points<br>• Type of Auditor's A-133 Report Issued on Compliance (if applicable) – 4 points<br>• Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points<br>• Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points<br>• Auditor's designation as low-risk sub-recipient in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points<br>• Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the annual independent audit – 4 points<br>• Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the annual independent audit – 4 points<br>• Noncompliance or other matters identified by the Auditor that is required to be reported under Government Auditing Standard – 4 points | 4 (average points) | 4 (average points) |
| **5** | Other data available to OSSE regarding the LEA's compliance with the IDEA, including, but not limited to, relevant financial data | • Timely LEA submission of Phase I and Phase II applications and reimbursement for a minimum of 45% of its IDEA, Section 611 funds within the first 15 months of the FFY 2011 grants cycle | 4 | 4 |
| **6** | Compliance with the IDEA Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement | • LEA in compliance with the IDEA MOE requirement and LEA reported on MOE to OSSE timely | 2 | 2 |
| **7** | Performance on selected District of Columbia State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators | • LEA did not meet minimum "n" size for disability subgroup | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **8** | Evidence of correction of findings of noncompliance, including progress toward full compliance | • The LEA did not receive any findings of noncompliance from FFY 2011 that were due for correction in FFY 2012. | 0 | 0 |
| | | **Total Number of Points Achieved** | 15 | |
| | | **Total Possible Points from Applicable Elements** | 15 | |
| | | **Percentage of Points Achieved from Applicable Elements** | 100% | |